

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,977	11/14/2003	Andries Pieter Hekstra	PTT-100/CON(402366US)	7022
7265 MICHAELSO	5 7590 09/12/2007 CHAELSON & ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8489 RED BANK, NJ 07701			MARIAM, DANIEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/713,977	HEKSTRA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: The state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the st
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un 	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at a subject to subject to subject to restriction at a subject to subject to subject to restriction at a subject to	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beauty. * See the attached detailed Office action for the second complex of the attached detailed Office action for the second complex of the attached detailed Office action for the second complex of the attached detailed Office action for the second complex of the s	ments have been received. ments have been received in Appendic priority documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/713,977

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 14, 15, 16, and 21 respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,704,451. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Suggestions

3. Applicant should amend claims 18 and 21 by replacing the intended use language, i.e., "adapted" with "configured or arranged" so as to exclude the broader claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,977

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zhang, et al. (6,037,986).

With regard to claim 18, Zhang, et al discloses an Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) adapted to include means (emphasis added by the Examiner) performing all the method steps of claim 1 (See for example Fig. 3 and the associated text).

Claim 21 is rejected the same as claim 18. Thus, argument analogous to that presented above for claim 18 is equally applicable to claim 21.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL G. MARIAM whose telephone number is 571-272-7394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW BELLA can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 4

DANIEL G MARIAM Primary Examiner Art Unit 2624

September 5, 2007